## Polling Strategy

Lindsay Hays

Mars Program Office, JPL

on Behalf of Human Landing Site Steering Committee

## Polling Strategy

 Strategy breaks down into three parts, available for all participants:

- Full Rubric
- Notes Sheet
- Polling Sheet

- Updated by a steering committee representative (Josh Dunbar) as filled out by presenters in their uploaded presentations on a rolling basis.
- Publicly available (online) during workshop.
- During integrating discussions (at the end of 4-5 EZ presentations) the full rubric as filled out by those EZ presenters will be displayed, discussed by the attendees and updated real-time



1<sup>st</sup> EZ Workshop for Human Missions to Mar

- Notes sheet is to provide attendees with a single place to make their own notes on the EZs along with a reduced form of the full rubric (threshold criteria only) to consult during the presentations/
  - Will not be collected
  - Have not given notation as to how to indicate whether proposed ROIs in the EZs would meet these criteria

This notes sheet will not be collected - it is for your use only.

This notes sheet features a reduced rubric that provides threshold criteria that the proposed ROIs in the EZs should meet.

Notes Sheet DAY 1		Science					0	Resources									
		Astrobio		Geoscience		Qualifying Criteria	Engineering	Water Resource			Civil Engineering		Metal/ Silicon Resource		NOTES		
EZ Location Name	Abs #	otential for oast habit.	Potential for O present 20 habit./refugia	Range of martian geologic time; datable surfaces	Evidence of aqueous process	Potential for interpret relative ages	Additional qualifying criteria from full rubric	Meets First Order Criteria (Latitude, Elevation, Thermal Inertia)	otential for ice or e/regolith	Potential for Short hydrated Public Minerals	Quantity for substantial production	Potential to be minable	~50 sq km region flat & stable terrain with sparse rock	Located within 5 km of landing site location	Potential for metal/ silicon	Potential to be minable	(e.g. ROI diversity, quality, potential)
Melas Chasma	1007																
Coprates Chasma	1036																
Chryse - Viking	1019																
Eastern Valles Marineris	1054																

### **Polling Sheet**

- Only respond for presentations that you attended.
- Feedback will be collected at the end of each day.

Please only enter responses for Exploration Zone presentations that you attended. Feedback will be collected at the end of each day.

Use your judgment as to how well the <u>potential of the EZ</u> was presented relative to the <u>criteria on the rubric</u>, and <u>be consistent</u> throughout the presentations.

For the additional questions below (Q3 & Q4), fill out on separate provided sheet OR email <u>lhays@jpl.nasa.gov</u> by November 13th.

Polling Sheet DAY 1	•	ou think the p sending mult to explore?		Q2. Do you agree that the <u>requested</u> "Highest Priority EZ Data Needs" from current assets for both science and resources would be the best data to substantially improve your ability to assess of the quality of this EZ?					
EZ Location Name	Abs #	Yes, there is a strong argument for this EZ	Possibly, the information is less convincing	-	YES	your (s Science	e of addition ability to as select ALL bel Science data from a different	al data would seess the quadrate ow that apply data from a different instrument	(lity? () Resource data from a different
Melas Chasma	1007					inistrument	1	i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	100011011
Coprates Chasma	1036								
Chryse - Viking	1019								
Eastern Valles Marineris	ı .					57	1 12 (8)		C 11

Q3. [Optional Additional Question] (A) What are some of the strongest aspects of the EZ as presented? (B) What are some of the weakest aspects of the EZ as presented? (C) What do you need to help you to improve your ability to assess this EZ? (include abstract #) Q4. In order to help with the selection of the Prototype EZs we request the following: (A) your top 3 EZs for which a strong case can be made based on current data (B) your top 3 EZs for which the current data is uncertain.

1st EZ Workshop for Human Missions to Ma

- Do you think the proposed EZ merits sending multiple crews to explore?
  - Yes, there is a strong argument for this EZ
  - Possibly, the information is less convincing
  - Uncertain, the current data is insufficient

1<sup>st</sup> EZ Workshop for Human Missions to Ma

- Do you agree that the requested "Highest Priority EZ Data Needs" from current assets for both science and resources would be the best data to substantially improve your ability to assess of the quality of this EZ?
  - Yes
  - No [If no, what type of additional data would improve your ability to assess the quality? select ALL that apply]
    - Science data from a different instrument
    - Science data from a different location
    - Resource data from a different instrument
    - Resource data from a different location



Please only enter responses for Exploration Zone presentations that you attended. Feedback will be collected at the end of each day.

Use your judgment as to how well the <u>potential of the EZ</u> was presented relative to the <u>criteria on the rubric</u>, and <u>be consistent</u> throughout the presentations.

For the additional questions below (Q3 & Q4), fill out on separate provided sheet OR email <u>lhays@jpl.nasa.gov</u> by November 13th.

Polling Sheet DAY 1	-	ou think the sending mult to explore?	-	Q2. Do you agree that the <u>requested</u> "Highest Priority EZ Data Needs" from current assets for both science and resources would be the best data to substantially improve your ability to assess of the quality of this EZ?					
EZ Location Name	Abs#	Yes, there is a strong argument for this EZ	Possibly, the information is less convincing	1 '1	YES	your (:	e of addition ability to as select ALL bel Science data from a different		Resource data from a different
Melas Chasma	1007					mstrument		IIISTI UIIIEIIT	iocation
Coprates Chasma	1036								
Chryse - Viking	1019								
Eastern Valles Marineris	1054						I I	l I	
O2 [Ontional Additional	LOuge	tion 1 (A) M/h	at are come of	the strongest	t acports of	ftho E7 as pr	contod2 (B)	Mhat are con	on of the

Q3. [Optional Additional Question] (A) What are some of the strongest aspects of the EZ as presented? (B) What are some of the weakest aspects of the EZ as presented? (C) What do you need to help you to improve your ability to assess this EZ? (include abstract #) Q4. In order to help with the selection of the Prototype EZs we request the following: (A) your top 3 EZs for which a strong case can be made based on current data (B) your top 3 EZs for which the current data is uncertain.

Equatorial 1



1<sup>st</sup> EZ Workshop for Human Missions to Mars

# Additional Optional Questions:

- A. What are some of the strongest aspects of the EZ as presented?
- B. What are some of the weakest aspects of the EZ as presented?
- C. What do you need to help you to improve your ability to assess this EZ?

		<ul><li>(A) What are some of the strongest aspects of the EZ as presented?</li><li>(B) What are some of the weakest aspects of the EZ as presented?</li><li>(C) What do you need to help you to improve your ability to assess this EZ?</li></ul>
Melas Chasma	1007	
Coprates Chasma	1036	
Chryse - Viking	1019	
Eastern Valles Marineris	1054	

Q3. [Optional Additional Question]

Please only enter responses for Exploration Zone presentations that you attended.

Feedback will be collected at the end of each day.

As part of the Mars Human Landing Site effort we intend to identify "Prototype EZs"

- In order to help with the selection of the Prototype EZs we request the following:
  - Please submit your top 3 EZs for which a strong case can be made based on current data.
  - Please submit your top 3 EZs for which the current data is uncertain.
- Email to <a href="mailto:lhays@jpl.nasa.gov">lhays@jpl.nasa.gov</a> by November 13th